# Rodríguez-Clare et al. (2020): Simplified Model Solution and Exposition of Extension

Presented by

William Bennett, Jordan Holbrook, Yang Pei, William Sevier

University of Houston

December 2021

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三回日 のへで

Simple Model Setup Simple Model Results Simple Model Results Simple Model Results Motivation of Extension Question and Proposal **RUV** Model **BHPS** Model Conclusion

BHPS • ECON-7395 • December 2021 • (0/15)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆目▶ ◆日▶ 目目 のへで

•

December 2021

(1/15)

# Setup:

- ► 4 Regions
  - ▶ 2 US, 1 C, 1 ROW
- $\blacktriangleright$  3 Sectors
  - ► (A)griculture, (M)anufacturing, (S)ervices
  - ▶ 1 Broad sector
    - $\blacktriangleright \implies$  one wage per region
  - ▶ No home production
    - $\blacktriangleright \implies 100\% \text{ LFP}$
- ▶ 4 time periods
  - ▶ Period 0 just initial W, L conditions
  - $\blacktriangleright$  1, 2, 3 "real" periods

BHPS • ECON-7395

Simple Model Setup

・ロト < 団ト < 三ト < 三ト < 三ト < ロト</li>

1

### **Parameters:**

$$\begin{split} \delta &= 0.984 \\ \gamma &= 1 \\ \kappa &= 5.9 \\ \eta &= \infty \\ \bar{L}_i &= 1 \quad \forall i \\ \sigma_s &= 1 \quad \forall s \end{split} \qquad \begin{aligned} \alpha_{j,s} &= \frac{1}{3} \quad \forall j, \forall s \\ \phi_{j,k} &= \frac{1}{3} \quad \forall j, \forall k \\ \phi_{j,sk} &= \frac{2}{9} \quad \forall j, \forall s, \forall k \\ \tau_{ij,k,t} &= 1 \quad \forall i, \forall j, \forall k, \forall t \\ D_{j,t} &= 0 \quad \forall j, \forall t \\ \beta &= 0.95 \end{split}$$

BHPS • ECON-7395 • December 2021 • (2/15)

・ロト < 団ト < 三ト < 三ト < 三ト < ロト</li>

## China Shock:

# TFP table:

$k \setminus i$	US1	US2	CHN	ROW
A	2	2	1	1
Μ	4	4	Х	1
S	8	8	1	1

$$X = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } t = 1\\ 1.2 & \text{if } t = 2\\ 5 & \text{if } t = 3 \end{cases}$$

BHPS • ECON-7395 • December 2021 • (3/15)

# Period 1:

# ► No shock

▶ Initial state of the world

vars $\setminus i$	US1	US2	CHN	ROW
$W_{free}$	0.3641	0.3641	0.1359	0.1359
W	0.3641	0.3641	0.1359	0.1359
P	8.3970	8.3970	8.3970	8.3970
W/P	0.0434	0.0434	0.0162	0.0162
L	1	1	1	1
l	1	1	1	1
u(%)	0	0	0	0

BHPS • ECON-7395 •

December 2021

•

・ロト < 団ト < 三ト < 三ト < 三ト < ロト</li>

(4/15)

## Period 2:

# ► Small shock

## ▶ DNWR doesn't bind

vars $\setminus i$	US1	US2	CHN	ROW
$W_{free}$	0.3617	0.3617	0.1414	0.1351
W	0.3617	0.3617	0.1414	0.1351
P	8.4236	8.4236	8.4236	8.4236
W/P	0.0429	0.0429	0.0168	0.0160
L	1	1	1	1
l	1	1	1	1
u(%)	0	0	0	0

BHPS • ECON-7395 •

I

December 2021

(5/15)

٠

## Period 2:

#### ► Large shock

# ► DNWR binds

$vars \setminus i$	US1	US2	CHN	ROW
$W_{free}$	0.3330	0.3330	0.2084	0.1256
W	0.3497	0.3497	0.2084	0.1256
P	8.8158	8.8158	8.8158	8.8158
W/P	0.0397	0.0397	0.0236	0.0142
L	0.9523	0.9523	1	1
l	1	1	1	1
u(%)	4.77	4.77	0	0

BHPS ECON-7395 .

.

December 2021

・ロト < 団ト < 三ト < 三ト < 三ト < ロト</li>

•

(6/15)

# Autor, Dorn, and Hanson (2013) (ADH):

For regions within US, facing negative Term of Trade shocks (from China) lead to decline in real wage and increase in unemployment and nonemployment.

# The previous trade model can not capture those mechanisms well (Costinot et.al, 2014).

# Rodríguez-Clare, Ulate, and Vasquez (2020) (RUV):

Built a model with downward nominal wage rigidity that explains these facts, and used it to analyze welfare effects of the "China shock".

But their model uses the nonstandard monetary mechanism of a nominal anchor.

**Research Question:** Can the nominal anchor be replaced with a more standard Taylor rule mechanism? :

- ▶ Introduce ability to save.
- ▶ Saving depends on future interest rates.
- ▶ Interest rates set by monetary authority(ies).
- ▶ Eliminate need for nominal anchor.

BHPS • ECON-7395 • December 2021 • (8/15)

#### Labor and Utility in RUV:

▶ Before, utility function (non-home production) involved log of expected real wage:

$$U_{i,b,t} = \ln(\omega_{i,b,t}) + z_b$$

Expected real wage is real wage times the probability of being employed:

$$\omega_{i,b,t} = \frac{W_{i,b,t}L_{i,b,t}}{P_{i,t}l_{i,b,t}}$$

- ▶ Utility from (expected) real wage because it represents consumption per capita
- ►  $z_b$  distribution gives labor force participation rate,  $\pi_{i,t}$ , sectoral share of employment in sector b,  $\pi_{i,b,t}$ , aggregated expected real wage,  $\omega_{i,t}$  and welfare function,  $u_{i,t}$ :

$$\pi_{i,t} = \frac{\omega_{i,t}^{\kappa}}{\mu_{i}^{\kappa} + \omega_{i,t}^{\kappa}} \quad \pi_{i,b,t} = \frac{\omega_{i,b,t}^{\eta}}{\omega_{i,t}^{\eta}} \quad \omega_{i,t} \equiv \left(\sum_{b=1}^{B} \omega_{i,b,t}^{\eta}\right)^{1/\eta} \quad u_{i,t} \propto (\mu_{i}^{\kappa} + \omega_{i,t}^{\kappa})^{1/\kappa}$$
  
BHPS • ECON-7395 • December 2021 • (9/15)

#### New Labor and Utility:

- ▶ Need utility to come explicitly from consumption, not wages
- ▶ No clear way to do this
- $\triangleright$   $C_{i,b,t}$  never mentioned in paper

$$C_{i,b,t} \equiv \frac{W_{i,b,t}L_{i,b,t}}{P_{i,t}} = \frac{\omega_{i,b,t}}{l_{i,b,t}} = C_{i,k,t}, \forall k \in b$$

▶ Replace  $\omega_{i,t}$  and thus utility:

$$\omega_{i,t} = \left(\sum_{b=1}^{B} (C_{i,b,t} l_{i,b,t})^{\eta}\right)^{1/\eta} \quad u_{i,t} \propto \left(\mu_{i}^{\kappa} + \left(\left(\sum_{b=1}^{B} (C_{i,b,t} l_{i,b,t})^{\eta}\right)^{1/\eta}\right)^{\kappa}\right)^{1/\kappa}$$

▶ Budget constraint:

BHPS

**BHPS** Model

<□ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

#### **Objective Function and Budget Constraint:**

► Objective function:

$$U_i = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta_i^t u_{i,t}(\{C_{i,b,t}\}_{b=1}^B)$$

► Where

$$u_{i,t} = \left(\mu_i^{\kappa} + \left(\left(\sum_{b=1}^B (C_{i,b,t}l_{i,b,t})^{\eta}\right)^{1/\eta}\right)^{\kappa}\right)^{1/\kappa}$$

▶ Now have constraint include saving:

$$P_{i,t} \sum_{b=1}^{B} C_{i,b,t} + a_{i,t+1} = \sum_{b=1}^{B} W_{i,b,t} L_{i,b,t} + (1+i_{i,t-1})a_{i,t}$$

BHPS • ECON-7395 • December 2021 • (11/15)

## **Budget Constraint Discussion:**

► Repeat:

$$P_{i,t} \sum_{b=1}^{B} C_{i,b,t} + a_{i,t+1} = \sum_{b=1}^{B} W_{i,b,t} L_{i,b,t} + (1 + i_{i,t-1})a_{i,t}$$

 $\blacktriangleright$  a could be capital, assets, bonds, etc. (would change equilibrium condition)

- ▶ In this setup, a just inter-temporal transfer from region i to i
- ► Could enrich this to multiple *a*'s:
  - Save in different regions and/or sectors at different interest rates
  - ▶ w/ or w/o frictions

BHPS • ECON-7395 • December 2021 • (12/15)

#### Euler equation:

FOC's of the Lagrangian:
C<sub>i,b,t</sub>
β<sup>t</sup><sub>i</sub> ∂u<sub>i,t</sub>/∂C<sub>i,b,t</sub> + λ<sub>i,t</sub>P<sub>i,t</sub> = 0
a<sub>i,t+1</sub>
λ<sub>i,t</sub> - λ<sub>i,t+1</sub>(1 + i<sub>i,t</sub>) = 0
EE
∂u<sub>i,t</sub>/∂C<sub>i,b,t</sub> = β<sub>i</sub> P<sub>i,t</sub>/P<sub>i,t+1</sub>(1 + i<sub>i,t</sub>) ∂u<sub>i,t+1</sub>/∂C<sub>i,b,t+1</sub>
EE replaces RUV's nominal anchor

BHPS • ECON-7395 • December 2021 • (13/15)

#### **BHPS** Model

<□ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

#### Taylor Rule:

► ZLB Taylor Rule:

$$i_{i,t} = \max\left(0, \frac{1-\beta_i}{\beta_i} + \pi_i^* + \phi_{\pi,i}(\pi_{i,t} - \pi_i^*) + \phi_{u,i}(u_{i,t} - \bar{u}_i)\right)$$

▶ Where inflation is

$$\pi_{i,t} = \frac{P_{i,t} - P_{i,t-1}}{P_{i,t-1}}$$

▶ With the monetary authority's target given by  $\pi^*$ , and unemployment is

$$u_{i,t} = 1 - \frac{\sum_{b=1}^{B} L_{i,b,t}}{\sum_{b=1}^{B} l_{i,b,t}}$$

- ▶ Where the flexible price unemployment rate  $\bar{u}_i = 0$ 
  - ▶ Easy to use output gap instead

BHPS • ECON-7395 • December 2021 • (14/15)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆目▶ ◆日▶ 目目 のへで

# Value Added:

- ▶ Replaced nominal anchor with EE and Taylor Rule
- ▶ Introduced forward looking agents
- ▶ Generalizes nicely
  - Bonds, assets, capital
  - Different types for different regions/sectors
- ▶ Stochasticity seems doable

BHPS • ECON-7395 • December 2021 • (15/15)

# Thank You!

BHPS • ECON-7395 • December 2021 • (15/15)